

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/902,111		07/11/2001	Hideaki Hijishiri	0905-0263P-SP	0905-0263P-SP 8200 EXAMINER	
2292	7590	10/26/2004		EXAM		
BIRCH ST PO BOX 74		KOLASCH & BII	TRAN, N	TRAN, NHAN T		
	•	A 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2615		
				DATE MAIL ED: 10/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/902,111	HIJISHIRI ET AL.						
, laviosity , loudin	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Nhan T. Tran	2615						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress					
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final reject IE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the same of the control o	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The app originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or					
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>	•							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ns.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NC	OT place the					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: 9.								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 7.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<b>`</b>							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·						
0. Other:								

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Applicants' arguments are not persuasive. The Applicants assert that cropping is not performed to create a zoom image in the Applicants' invention (page 3 of the Remarks). However, it is clearly shown in Applicants' Figs. 1, 2 and throughout the drawings, cropping are performed to create the zoom image. Apparently, steps 23-26 in Fig. 2 and further Figs. 5, 8 & 11 describes the cropping process. Further, the Applicants argue that Doron does not record to memory a zoomed image and implication of whether interpolation is performed or not is not found in Doron. In response, the Examiner respecfully disagrees. In col. 8, lines 42-50, Doron discloses a digital zoom command is sent to CCD 15, and image capture area is cropped to create a zoom area (subset of image pixels) so that only a subset of the image pixels are stored in an available storage or recording medium. Since only a subset of the image pixels are directly recorded into the available storage or recording medium, it is seen that no interpolation is required during recording.

The Applicants further argue that one would not be motivated to combine Kaji's teachings of a zoom area designating unit with Doron to achieve Applicant's claimed invention. In response, the Examiner respectfully disargees. Both Doron and Kaji teachings are in a common field of digital zoom of digital cameras. See Kaji, col. 1, lines 50-58. Kaji is relied by the Examiner on the feature of selecting a desired area to be zoomed (Fig. 5) using a designating unit to allow the user to designate any specific area in interest for zooming that compensates the deficiency in Doron. It would enhance the zoom features in Doron by including a zoom-area designating unit as an additional zoom mode beside the existing zoom mode to allow the user to select any specific area within an image frame for zooming and recording so that only a desired object within an image frame would be recorded, thereby an effective zoom area and recording processes are realized. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the advantages taught by Doron and Kaji to combine them together for enhanced zoom features in a camera.

ANDREW CHRISTENSEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600